

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,817	01/22/2002	Charles F. Marino	END920010104US1	4278	
23550 7590 02/14/2007 HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC 75 STATE STREET . 14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207			EXAMINER		
			CHOW, JEFFREY J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/057,817	MARINO, CHARLES F.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey J. Chow	2628	

	Jeffrey J. Chow	2628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	John Stephanning Training to the Millian, 10,	,00.00	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
<ul> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11.          ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ul>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.  Other:		ULKA CHAUHA	N EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Blomgren (US 5,935,198) does not teach bit slicing each multiplier according to the pixel format (pages 7 and 8). Bloomgren discloses taking a full-size multiplier, such as a 32-bit multiplier, and dividing into smaller sections which can operate independently for 8-bit multipliers (column 13, lines 28 - 37). Bloomgren discloses each pixel has three color components: Red, Green, Blue, and a fourth component: alpha (column 13, lines 18 and 19) and where interpolation must be performed on all three color components and often on the alpha components (column 13, lines 19 - 21) and performing four interpolations in parallel significantly improves throughput (column 13, lines 21 - 27) and therefore all four smaller pixel components can be interpolated simultaneously in a larger multiplier (column 13, lines 35 - 37). Blomgren does teach bit slicing each multiplier according to the pixel format. Blomgren recites:

"FIG. 9 is a diagram of a parallel graphics interpolator. Each pixel has three color components: Red, Green, Blue, and a fourth component: alpha (A). Interpolation must be performed on all three color components and often on the alpha component as well. It is possible to use a single multiplier to perform the four interpolations in series, one interpolation after another, but this is somewhat slow. Four interpolators/multipliers as described earlier may be included on a processor so that four interpolations can be performed in parallel, at the same time. Parallel interpolation significantly improves throughput" (column 13, lines 17 - 27).

"Modern microprocessors operate on 32 or 64 bits of data, yet the color components are typically 8 or 16 bits in size. A full 32- or 64-bit multiplier may be needed for the general-purpose processor, but only 8- or 16-bit multiplies are needed for graphics interpolations. The full-size multiplier may be divided into smaller sections which can operate independently for 8-bit multiplies, or together as one large multiplier for 32-bit multiplies. Thus all four smaller pixel components can be interpolated simultaneously in a larger multiplier. Intel Corp. of Santa Clara, Calif. has announced microprocessors using "MMX", which allows for parallel operation. For example, four independent 16-bit additions can be performed simultaneously, in parallel, in a 64-bit adder. The 64-bit adder operates as 4 independent 16-bit sections in MMX mode" (column 13, line 28 - 42).

Blomgren realizes that four interpolations on the color components, RGBA, are needed. Blomgren realizes that 8-bit multiples are need for graphics interpolations. Blomgren teaches diving a large multiplier, 32-bit multiplier, into smaller sections that can operate as independent 8-bit multipliers as the color components are usually 8-bit or 16-bit. Blomgren realizes that all four smaller pixel component can be interpolated simultaneously in a larger multiplier.

Regarding the filing date of the present application, the filing data has been corrected and corrected filing receipt has been mailed.